



NARAL
Pro-Choice Washington PAC

Questionnaire for Legislative Candidates

Revised 2014

Name: Chad Magendanz District: 5 Position Sought: State Representative, Position 2

Campaign Address: P.O. Box 1362, Issaquah, WA 98027

Campaign Phone Number: 425-394-4895 Website: http://www.Vote4Chad.com

Email: chad@magendanz.com Party: Republican

Campaign Manager/Contact: Steve McNey Filing Date: 5/12/14

- Incumbent Challenger Open Seat

PART ONE

- Washington State's current law affirming a woman's right to abortion (RCW 9.02/formerly Initiative 120) may be subject to amendment. Will you **OPPOSE** any legislation that conflicts with, or infringes upon, this law?
YES NO
- Current law states that all patients must give their informed consent to any and all medical procedures. NARAL opposes efforts by the anti-choice movement to create separate "informed consent" laws specific to abortion procedures. Will you **OPPOSE** any legislative attempts to create such "informed consent" or mandatory waiting periods for abortion procedures?
YES NO
- Washington State law requires all sex education taught in Washington public schools be comprehensive and medically accurate. Do you **SUPPORT** this law and its enforcement?
YES NO
- Current state law requires any funding sought by Washington state agencies for sexual health education to be only for programs that are comprehensive, medically accurate and proven to work. Will you **OPPOSE** any legislation that conflicts with, or infringes upon, this law?
YES NO
- Will you **SUPPORT** continued state funding of abortion services for low-income women of any age?
YES NO
- Will you **SUPPORT** continued state funding of family planning clinics and services for low-income women of any age?
YES NO

7. NARAL Pro-Choice Washington believes it is desirable for minors to involve their parents in their decision whether or not to have an abortion. However, we recognize that sound family communication cannot be mandated by the government. Will you **OPPOSE** legislation requiring parental notification and/or consent for a minor to terminate her pregnancy?
YES NO

Note: I just believe an abortion should be treated like any other medical procedure. Currently, parental consent is required for all other non-emergency medical services, unless the minor meets the Mature Minor Doctrine. A legally emancipated minor or a minor married to either an adult or an emancipated minor is also treated as an adult.

8. Will you **SUPPORT** legislation requiring health insurance plans that cover other prescription medications to also cover birth control?
YES NO

9. Will you **OPPOSE** restrictions (including funding restrictions) on embryonic stem cell research?
YES NO

10. The anti-choice movement aims to define embryos as people under the law as an interim step toward undermining legal protections for choice. Will you **OPPOSE** legislation that would designate embryos or fetuses as "people" with legal rights?
YES NO

11. A recent community-based survey of pharmacies found that nearly 10% do not stock emergency contraception. Would you **SUPPORT** legislation that requires pharmacies to stock emergency contraception?
YES NO

12. Will you **SUPPORT** legislation which would provide access to comprehensive counseling and referral services, including referral for abortion services, as a means of reducing unplanned pregnancies?
YES NO

13. Congress, along with many state legislatures, passed a ban on a method of abortion referred to by our opponents as "partial-birth abortion," a ban which was recently upheld by the Supreme Court. In Washington State, voters defeated I-694 (with 57.2% of the vote), an initiative that would have instituted a similar abortion ban. Will you **OPPOSE** legislation that aims to ban any and all specific abortion methods?
YES NO

Note: The intent of Initiative 120 was to protect a woman's right to choose to have an abortion prior to viability of the fetus, or to protect her life or health. While I would oppose legislation aiming to ban specific methods, I would at least consider legislation to ban late-term abortions when the mother's life or health was not endangered. That said, I did oppose I-694 because it was poorly written and worded in such a vague way that it could be construed to ban abortions other than the controversial, late-term procedure.

14. Anti-choice limited service pregnancy centers (also known as "crisis pregnancy centers") target women who are facing unintended pregnancies by offering free pregnancy tests or sonograms. These centers are typically staffed by anti-choice volunteers who give women misinformation about abortion and refuse to provide referrals for contraception or abortion care. Do you **OPPOSE** public funding or tax credits for limited service pregnancy centers or other programs that do not provide accurate information about contraception and/or abortion?

YES NO

15. Will you **SUPPORT** legislation that would require limited service pregnancy centers to: disclose that they do not provide abortion or birth control care or referrals, keep women's medical information private, and provide written pregnancy test results immediately?

YES NO

16. As the state implements the federal health care reform law, Washington women could lose access to the abortion coverage they have now. Will you **SUPPORT** legislation like the Reproductive Parity Act that would require health insurance policies sold in the state that cover maternity care to provide comparable coverage for abortion care?

YES NO

Note: In both the 2013 and 2014 legislative sessions, I was the only Republican to vote for the Reproductive Parity Act.

17. Will you **OPPOSE** laws or regulations that would allow publicly funded health institutions to opt out of state laws and regulations by using so-called "religious freedom" or "conscience clauses" to refuse to provide services?

YES NO

18. Will you **SUPPORT** laws or regulations that would strengthen the state's Washington Law Against Discrimination to guarantee that religious, nonprofit employers cannot discriminate against their non-ministerial staff?

YES NO

19. Would you welcome an endorsement from NARAL Pro-Choice Washington?

YES NO

20. Would you use the NARAL Pro-Choice Washington endorsement on your campaign materials?

YES NO

PART TWO

1. What do you know about your opponent's position on choice?

I believe all three of my opponents are also pro-choice, but none of them are going to be in a position to defend a woman's right to choose in the House Republican Caucus or coordinate a floor debate on this issue as Assistant Floor Leader. As Ranking Minority Member of the House Education Committee, I'll also be in a position to influence requirements for sexual health education and to ensure curriculum is comprehensive and medically accurate.

2. Has your campaign consistently complied with all PDC regulations and deadlines? Yes


3. Total cash raised \$55,095

4. Expected campaign budget \$120,000

5. Cash on hand your campaign currently has \$47,841

6. Number of households personally visited to date 9,500

7. Number of households contacted using volunteers 500
8. Number of precincts you have personally door-belled total to date 28
9. Have you or will you conduct a poll? If so, when? No
10. What is your campaign message? Put kids first!

Candidate's Signature 

Date: 5/30/2014

Please return to Tiffany Hankins at tiffanyhankins@prochoicewashington.org; NARAL Pro-Choice Washington, 811 1st Ave, Suite 675, Seattle, WA 98104; or fax to 206-624-4505.